

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/653,215	08/31/2000		Thomas E. Saulpaugh	5181-70400	4761
75	90	08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Robert C Kow	ert		CHEN, SHIN HON		
Conley Rose &	Tayon P	C			
P O Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78	767-039	98		2131	
				DATE MAILED: 08/11/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	09/653,215						
. 1885/1881 1811 811 1814 1815 1816 1816 1816 1816 1816 1816 1816 1816 1816 1816			SAULPAUGH ET AL.				
	l .						
	Shin-Hon Chen		2131				
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC							
Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review							
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed <u>07/10/06</u> .							
1. The Improper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following reason(s):							
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not included a proposed amendment is i ☐ Other:	le reasons why a	review is appro	opriate.	equest.			
The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office common terms.							
2. Proceed to Board of Patent held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month frunning from the receipt of the notic appeal brief is extendible under 37 of the notice of appeal, as applicable	appeal because f in accordance wo rom mailing this c e of appeal, which DFR 1.136 based	there is at leas vith 37 CFR 41. decision, or the hever is greate	st one actual issue f .37. The time period balance of the two- er. Further, the time	or appeal. Applicant I for filing an appeal month time period period for filing of the			
 ☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: ☐ Claim(s) objected to: ☐ Claim(s) rejected: 		claim(s) is as f	ollows:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consi	nference has be						
Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution applicant at this time. 4. Reopen Prosecution – A con	on on the merits (emains closed	. No further action	is required by			

All participants:

(1) Shin-Hon Chen.

(2) Joe Dixon.

for (3)<u>Ayaz Sheikh</u>.

action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

(4)____.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100